# Seeing the Forest Through the Trees - A Guide to Low Carbon Wood Buildings

**Energy Trust of Oregon | Net Zero Fellowship** 

LEVER



# Team Intro + Methodology The Language of Carbon **A Passive House Primer** All Wood Is Not Equal **Case Studies Conclusions + Strategies**











Skidmore Passivhaus





#### Albina Yard



# LEVER Portland Mass Timber Projects

Union Way

Framework

**Albina Yard** 

#### FLEX

Redfox Commons

Meyer Memorial Trust Headquarters

adidas Headquarters Oregon Conservation Center

Thesis Headquarters

Cascada

PSU, Schnitzer School of Art

Sandy Pine

U of O Highland Hall Dekum Court Housing

- 51

Albina Library

- 2



# Intro + Methodology







- Relationship of energy efficiency and low embodied carbon
- Low rise wood buildings are still most prevalent construction type
- Pros and cons of Mass Timber vs Stick Frame
- Key decisions to make low carbon wood buildings
- Are Net-Zero Carbon Buildings possible?

# Changing climate gives urgency to rapidly decarbonize

Action

# **Research Questions**

- Which wood structure type has lowest embodied carbon?
- What assemblies are required to meet Passive House (PH)? (climate zone 4c)
- How do Mass Timber and Stick Framed PH assemblies compare?
- What assemblies have lowest embodied carbon?
- When is Mass Timber more appropriate than Stick Frame?
- How do we create zero-carbon or lowest carbon buildings?



# **Research Goals**

# **Three Case Study Projects:**

## **1.Compare Exterior Building Assemblies**

**Code Minimum** 

**Passive House Compliant** 

**Wood Stick Framed & Mass Timber** 

# 2. Compare Embodied Carbon

**Code Minimum** 

**Passive House Compliant** 

Wood Stick Framed & Mass Timber

# 3. Determine Optimal Approach

**Reduce Whole Building Carbon** 

Net Zero Carbon in 25 years if possible



# Methodology

**Three Case Study Projects:** 

# **Step 1: Determine Assembly R-Values to Meet Passive House Step 2: Estimate Embodied Carbon of Resulting Buildings** Step 3: Determine PV Array Size ideally to achieve Net Zero Carbon **Step 4: Evaluate the Embodied Carbon Calculations Step 5: Provide Strategies and Recommendations**

# The Language of Carbon

# Why Carbon

# Estimate full impact of emissions from construction (impossible if measuring only energy)

# Estimate emissions from buildings and also supply chains

# Carbon is a universal metric

# Buildings are responsible for ~39% of global carbon emissions

# The Many Names of Carbon

- Carbon Dioxide (C02)
- **Carbon Dioxide Emissions**
- **Carbon Emissions**
- Carbon Dioxide Equivalent (CO2e)
- **Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)**
- **Global Warming Potential (GWP)**











Total Carbon

Embodied Carbon

# **Embodied Carbon** CO2 emissions from extraction and production of materials **CO2** emissions from construction CO2 emissions from use of building (maintenance, replacement, repairs) CO2 emissions from end-of-life (deconstruction, demolition, recycling)

#### **Operational Carbon**



# CO2 emissions from operation of a building (heating, cooling, lighting, etc)

# The Time Value of Carbon Reducing emissions today is more valuable than reducing emissions in the future.

# **Upfront Emissions Approach** Reduce upfront emissions whenever possible, even if it means increased operational carbon emissions over the life of a building.

**Total Emissions Approach** Select strategies that emit the least carbon over a building's lifetime, even if they result in increased upfront emissions.



# Stages of Carbon Upfront (A1-A5)



# **Recycling Potential**

# Stages of Carbon Total Embodied Carbon (A / B / C)



More comprehensive but

# Stages of Carbon **Operational Carbon**



# **Recycling Potential**

# A Passive House Primer

![](_page_19_Picture_1.jpeg)

# Passive House Requirements

![](_page_20_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Thermal Energy Demand**

- Three main performance criteria (pass / fail)
- Specialty modeling software with detailed input (Wufi Passive or PHPP)
- Third party review of modeling
- Assemblies are material agnostic (effective R-value)
- Field Verification

![](_page_20_Picture_8.jpeg)

#### **Total Energy Demand**

![](_page_20_Picture_10.jpeg)

#### Airtightness

# **Passive House Principles**

# **Thermal Control Super Insulation Thermal Bridge Free**

- **Air and Moisture Control** 2 **Airtight Construction** Fresh Air with Heat Recovery
- 3
- **Radiation Control High Performance Glazing** Shading and Daylighting

![](_page_21_Picture_5.jpeg)

**Efficient Mechanical Systems Minimized Equipment Efficient Distribution** 

![](_page_21_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_8.jpeg)

# Why Passive House (Isn't Net Zero Enough?)

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **Code Minimum**

![](_page_22_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### **Passive House**

#### **Passive House + PV**

# All Wood Is Not Equal

![](_page_23_Picture_1.jpeg)

# Biogenic Carbon "carbon produced in natural processes by living organisms"

# **Biogenic Carbon or Sequestered Carbon**

 negative upfront carbon + emission at end of life (total embodied carbon)

# **Upfront Carbon only**

**Conservative Approach = <del>Biogenic Carbon</del>** 

> credit for the stored carbon (ignores future emissions) = incentive to use more wood?

# All Wood Is Not Equal

#### Wood EPDs used in most embodied carbon calculations represent the national average, and do not distinguish between wood sourced from specific forests or specific sites...in EPDs all wood is represented equally.

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_4.jpeg)

**Embodied Carbon of Different Forest Management Practices and Harvest Rotations** 

# **Case Studies**

![](_page_26_Picture_1.jpeg)

# Williams & Russell Development

![](_page_28_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Townhomes

#### Program

- 31,822 sf gross
- 24 Townhomes
- 2-buildings

#### Height

3-story

#### Construction

Type V-B

![](_page_28_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_11.jpeg)

#### **Black Business Hub**

Program	Prog
40,000 sf gross	97,00
Below Grade Parking	85 Un
Offices over Ground Floor Retail	Grou
Height	Heigł
4-story	6-sto
Construction	Cons
Type III-B	Туре
	Туре

#### Affordable Apartments

- ram
- 00 sf gross
- nits of Rental Apartments
- and Floor Amenities / Childcare

#### ht

ory

#### struction

Type III-A with Type I Podium (Stick Frame) Type IV-C (Mass Timber)

# Townhomes

![](_page_29_Picture_1.jpeg)

# Floor Plan Townhomes

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

LEVEL 01

# **Operational Carbon Summary** Townhomes

#### **Energy Modeling Parameters**

Component	Code Minimum	Р
Floor / Slab on Grade	R-0	R
Edge of Slab	R-15	R
Walls	R-21	R
Roof	R-49	R
Windows	U = .30 / SHGC = .30	U
Airtightness	.4 @ 75PA	.2
Heating / Cooling	Electric Res / PTAC	S
Ventilation	Trickle Vent / Exhaust	E
Water Heating	Electric Resistance	н

#### Improved envelope = 13% savings

#### **Better systems = 34% savings**

#### Passive House

R-15 C.I R-15 for24" R-26 Effective R-59 Effective J = .26 / SHGC = .18 2 @ 75PA

Split System Heat Pump ERV (68% Efficient) Heat Pump (Hybrid)

![](_page_31_Figure_8.jpeg)

#### Annual Operational Energy (kWh/yr)

![](_page_31_Figure_10.jpeg)

Annual Operational Carbon (kgCO2e/yr)

#### LEVER ARCHITECTURE

kgCO2e

# Assemblies Townhomes

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

CODE MINIMUM

Slab without insulation

ROOF

WALL

FLOOR

#### Unvented Roof with rigid insulation (foam)

#### Vented Roof with fluffy insulation (cellulose)

Stud Cavity with fluffy insulation (cellulose)

Slab with insulation (foam)

# **Passive House Assemblies** Townhomes

Simple air barrier transition (exterior wall to exterior roof)

**PH Mass Timber** 

![](_page_33_Picture_3.jpeg)

Challenging air barrier transition (exterior wall to ceiling)

![](_page_33_Picture_5.jpeg)

PH Stick Frame

# **Embodied Carbon Results** Townhomes

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Upfront Life Cycle Stages A1-A4

![](_page_34_Picture_3.jpeg)

With Biogenic Carbon

Without Biogenic Carbon

![](_page_34_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_9.jpeg)

Without Biogenic Carbon

# **Embodied Carbon Results** Townhomes

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

Embodied Carbon of Major Materials (Full Life Cycle with Biogenic Carbon)

![](_page_35_Picture_3.jpeg)

# **On-Site Renewable Energy** Townhomes

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### **Code Minimum** 354 kW PV **Net Zero Energy**

# **On-Site Renewable Energy** Townhomes

![](_page_37_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### **Stick Frame Passive House** 216 kW PV

#### Net Zero Carbon in 25 years

#### (Upfront w/ Biogenic Carbon)

# **Total Carbon Scenarios - 25 years** Townhomes

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

# 60% Net Zero Energy Annually (Upfront w/ Biogenic Carbon)

#### LEVER ARCHITECTURE

# **Net Zero Carbon in 25 years** (Upfront w/ Biogenic Carbon)

# Black Business Hub

![](_page_39_Picture_1.jpeg)

# **Floor Plans** Black Business Hub

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Figure_5.jpeg)

#### LEVER ARCHITECTURE

LEVEL 03

![](_page_40_Figure_9.jpeg)

# **Operational Carbon Summary Black Business Hub**

#### **Energy Modeling Parameters**

#### Component

Floor / Slab on Grade Edge of Slab Walls Roof Storefront Windows Upper Windows Infiltration

Heating / Cooling Ventilation Water Heating

#### Code Minimum

R-0 R-15 for 24" R-19 (~R-16 Effective) **R-30 Effective** U = .36 / SHGC = .33 U = .36 / SHGC = .33 .4 @ 75PA

VAV with Elec Reheat Code Minimum Mixed Air Elec Resistance

#### **Passive House**

R-0 R-15 for 24" R-19 Effective **R-38** Effective U = .36 / SHGC = .27 U = .24 / SHGC = .27 .2 @ 75PA

Split System Heat Pump ERV (68% Efficient) Heat Pump

#### Improved envelope = 14% savings

#### **Better systems = 34% savings**

![](_page_41_Figure_13.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Figure_14.jpeg)

Annual Operational Energy (kWh/yr)

![](_page_41_Figure_16.jpeg)

Annual Operational Carbon (kgCO2e/yr)

#### LEVER ARCHITECTURE

kgCO2e

# Assemblies **Black Business Hub**

![](_page_42_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_5.jpeg)

ROOF

WALL

#### Unvented Roof with rigid insulation (5" foam)

#### Unvented Roof with rigid insulation (6" foam)

# **Embodied Carbon Results (Below-Grade Parking) Black Business Hub**

- Approximately 170,000 kgCO2e
- More CO2 than steel
- More CO2 than 273 kW PV Array
- Below-grade = 4.25 kgCO2e / sf
- Mass Timber = 3.15 kgCO2e / sf

![](_page_43_Figure_6.jpeg)

#### Upfront Life Cycle Stages A1-A4

![](_page_43_Picture_8.jpeg)

With Biogenic Carbon

Without Biogenic Carbon

![](_page_43_Picture_11.jpeg)

# **Embodied Carbon Results Black Business Hub**

![](_page_44_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Upfront Life Cycle Stages A1-A4

With Biogenic Carbon

Without Biogenic Carbon

![](_page_44_Picture_6.jpeg)

#### Full Life Cycle Stages A-D

With Biogenic Carbon

Without Biogenic Carbon

# **Embodied Carbon Results Black Business Hub**

![](_page_45_Figure_1.jpeg)

Embodied Carbon of Major Materials (Full Life Cycle with Biogenic Carbon)

![](_page_45_Picture_3.jpeg)

#### Significant impact from large PV

# **On-Site Renewable Energy Black Business Hub**

**Code Minimum** 527 kW PV **Net Zero Energy** 

![](_page_46_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_3.jpeg)

# **On-Site Renewable Energy Black Business Hub**

![](_page_47_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **Passive House (Mass Timber)** 82 kW PV **30% Net Zero Energy annually**

![](_page_47_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_6.jpeg)

**Passive House (Mass Timber)** 318 kW PV Net Zero Carbon in 25 years

#### LEVER ARCHITECTURE

# (Upfront with Biogenic Carbon)

# **Total Carbon Scenarios - 25 years Black Business Hub**

![](_page_48_Figure_1.jpeg)

## 82 kW PV **30% Net Zero Energy annually**

318 kW PV Net Zero Carbon in 25 years (Upfront with Biogenic Carbon)

# Affordable Apartments

![](_page_49_Picture_1.jpeg)

# Floor Plans Affordable Apartments

![](_page_50_Figure_1.jpeg)

LEVEL 01

![](_page_50_Figure_3.jpeg)

LEVEL 02

# **Operational Carbon Summary** Affordable Apartments

#### **Energy Modeling Parameters**

#### Component

Floor / Slab on Grade Edge of Slab Walls Roof Storefront Windows Upper Windows Infiltration

Heating / Cooling Ventilation Water Heating

**Code Minimum** R-0 R-15 for 24" R-19 (~R-16 Effective) R-30 Effective U = .36 / SHGC = .33 U = .36 / SHGC = .33 .4 @ 75PA

Electric Res / PTAC ERV (50% Efficient) Electric Resistance

#### Passive House

R-0 R-15 for 24" **R-19** Effective R-38 Effective .2 @ 75PA

#### Improved envelope = 7% savings

#### **Better systems = 26% savings**

![](_page_51_Figure_12.jpeg)

Annual Operational Energy (kWh/yr)

![](_page_51_Figure_15.jpeg)

#### Annual Operational Carbon (kgCO2e/yr)

# **Passive House Assemblies** Affordable Apartments

![](_page_52_Picture_1.jpeg)

**PH Mass Timber** 

Type IV-C Interior and exterior walls are non-combustible (metal stud)

Can have exposed Mass Timber at ground floor

![](_page_52_Picture_5.jpeg)

PH Stick Frame

Type III-A Exterior walls are FRT wood. Interior walls are untreated wood.

Type I Concrete Podium (or FP steel) at ground floor

# **Embodied Carbon Results** Affordable Apartments

![](_page_53_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_2.jpeg)

Embodied Carbon of Major Materials (Full Life Cycle with Biogenic Carbon)

# **On-Site Renewable Energy** Affordable Apartments

**Code Minimum** 1818 kW PV Net Zero Energy

![](_page_54_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Figure_3.jpeg)

# **On-Site Renewable Energy** Affordable Apartments

**Passive House** 225 kW PV 18% Net Zero Energy

![](_page_55_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Figure_3.jpeg)

# **Conclusions + Strategies**

# **Passive House Typical Assemblies** Climate Zone 4c

- As building scale increases, differences in R-value become minimal
- Compact efficient forms result in simplified assemblies
- Deeper cavities (stick frame) are cost effective for insulation
- Continuous insulation better addresses thermal bridging
- Airtightness and thermal bridging can be biggest challenges to PH

# **Selecting the Structural System** Mass Timber vs Stick Frame

![](_page_58_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### <u>Mass Timber Advantages</u>

- Simplicity of construction
- Fewer layer of materials
- Speed of construction
- Reduced floor to floor height
- Can eliminate concrete podium
- Aesthetic qualities when exposed
- Fire resistance of structure

#### Mass Timber Disadvantages

- Increased quantity of wood fiber
- Exterior insulation only
- Higher embodied carbon insulation
- Challenging to conceal services
- Challenging to field modify

ruction erials ion oor height rete podium when exposed tructure

of wood fiber only carbon insulation ceal services d modify

![](_page_58_Picture_18.jpeg)

#### **Stick Frame Advantages**

Cavities for insulation
Low embodied carbon cavity insulation
Reduced quantity of wood fiber
Cavities for distribution of services
Easier to field modify

#### **Stick Frame Disadvantages**

Concrete podium required (per height)
Many layers of materials
Longer construction schedule
Increased floor to floor height

# Selecting the Structural System **Concrete Reductions**

![](_page_59_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Mass Timber

**Topping slab = significant CO2e** Wet assemblies = longer schedule

#### **Below Grade Parking**

~200% increase in upfront CO2e Earthwork, waterproofing, core, etc Longer schedule

#### **Typical 4 Story Office Building**

![](_page_59_Picture_7.jpeg)

#### **Typical 6 Story Apartment Building**

#### **Stick Frame over Podium**

- **Podium = significant CO2e**
- **Podium = longer schedule**

#### <u>Mass Timber (Type IV-C)</u>

- No podium
- Aesthetic of exposed mass timber
- Non-combustible metal framing required

# Selecting the Structural System Hybrid Approach

# Stick frame exterior walls

- cost effective for cavity insulation
- prefabricated for speed of construction
- lowest embodied carbon insulation

#### Mass timber floors and roof

- for speed of construction
- aesthetic appeal (exposed)
- dry assemblies for speed
- dry assemblies for low carbon

![](_page_60_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_13.jpeg)

# **Selecting Low Carbon Insulation** Cavity Insulation (per 100sf @ R-8)

Closed Cell Spray Polyurethane Foam (HFC Formula)

![](_page_61_Picture_2.jpeg)

522 kg CO2e

Closed Cell Spray Polyurethane Foam (HFO Formula)

![](_page_61_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Picture_6.jpeg)

138 kg CO2e

116 kg CO2e

#### **Fiberglass Batts**

![](_page_61_Picture_10.jpeg)

73 kg CO2e

Wool Batts

![](_page_61_Picture_13.jpeg)

73 kg CO2e

![](_page_61_Picture_16.jpeg)

-60 kg CO2e

Fiberglass Loose Fill

Mineral Wool Batts

**Open Cell Spray Polyurethane Foam** 

![](_page_61_Picture_22.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Picture_23.jpeg)

95 kg CO2e

![](_page_61_Picture_25.jpeg)

90 kg CO2e

Cellulose Loose Fill

#### Wood Fiber Batts

![](_page_61_Picture_30.jpeg)

-74 kg CO2e

Cellulose Dense Pack

![](_page_61_Picture_33.jpeg)

-141 kg CO2e

# **Selecting Low Carbon Insulation** Board Insulation (per 100 sf @ R-8)

Extruded Polystyrene (XPS) (Legacy Formula)

![](_page_62_Picture_2.jpeg)

1321 kg CO2e

Foam Glass

![](_page_62_Picture_5.jpeg)

315 kg CO2e

![](_page_62_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Picture_8.jpeg)

221 kg CO2e

#### Mineral Wool Board

#### Polyisocyanurate (Polyiso)

![](_page_62_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Picture_13.jpeg)

63 kg CO2e

![](_page_62_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Picture_16.jpeg)

42 kg CO2e

-78 kg CO2e

Extruded Polystyrene (XPS) (Reduced GWP Formula)

Expanded Polystyrene (EPS) (Type IX Density)

![](_page_62_Picture_23.jpeg)

132 kg CO2e

Graphite Expanded Polystyrene (Type IX Density)

![](_page_62_Picture_26.jpeg)

82 kg CO2e

#### Wood Fiberboard

![](_page_62_Picture_30.jpeg)

-102 kg CO2e

Straw Board

![](_page_62_Picture_33.jpeg)

-1308 kg CO2e

# **Embodied CO2 vs Operating Emissions Code Minimum vs Passive House**

![](_page_63_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### 216 kW Energy Positive Passive House (Net Zero Carbon in 25 years)

![](_page_63_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### 354 kW Net Zero Energy Code Minimum (exceeds available roof area)

#### **Passive House Advantages**

- Uses 52% 67% of operational energy vs code minimum
- Significantly smaller PV array to reach NZE
- Ability to be energy positive on smaller projects
- Improved comfort (less temperature fluctuation)

- Reduced mechanical system sizes
- More resilient to extreme weather events

#### **Passive House Envelope**

- Reduced operational CO2 between 7% 14% annually
- Increased embodied CO2e between 1% 10%

![](_page_63_Picture_18.jpeg)

• Better air quality (through airtightness and filtered ventilation) • Increased durability (less moisture transmission / condensation)

# How to Create Low Carbon Buildings Townhomes

# **Strategies for 2-3 Story Townhomes**

**Build to Passive House** 

**Compact forms for efficiency** 

Minimize concrete foundations (crawlspaces?)

Stick Frame to maximize cavity insulation

Source wood products from local and verifiable sources

**Prioritize cellulose and wood fiber insulation** 

Minimize use of foam or other petroleum based products

Incorporate bio-based materials wherever possible

![](_page_64_Picture_16.jpeg)

# How to Create Low Carbon Buildings **Black Business Hub**

# **Strategies for 4 Story Office**

**Build to Passive House** Eliminate below grade parking Mass timber structure for speed / aesthetics / flexibility Use dry assemblies in lieu of concrete topping slabs Stick frame exterior walls to maximize cavity insulation Source wood products from local and verifiable sources Prioritize cellulose and wood fiber insulation Minimize use of foam or other petroleum based products Incorporate bio-based materials wherever possible

![](_page_65_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Picture_12.jpeg)

# How to Create Low Carbon Buildings **Affordable Apartments**

# **Strategies for 6 Story Housing**

**Build to Passive House** Eliminate concrete podium if possible Mass timber floors for speed / aesthetics / reduced height Use dry assemblies in lieu of gypcrete topping slabs Stick frame exterior walls to maximize cavity insulation Source wood products from local and verifiable sources **Prioritize cellulose and wood fiber insulation** Minimize use of foam or other petroleum based products Incorporate bio-based materials wherever possible

![](_page_66_Picture_11.jpeg)

# How to Create Low Carbon Buildings

# <u>Conclusions</u>

Carbon modeling is in its infancy Data accuracy is challenging with many uncertainties Know the stages of embodied carbon Be skeptical of zero carbon building claims Understand that all wood is not equal Look for verification of performance claims PH strategies are not the same as PH certification The whole is usually greater than the sum of its parts

![](_page_67_Picture_10.jpeg)

#### A Bio-based Case Study Jeanne d'arc Nursey School in Paris France

#### **Wood Clad Exterior**

![](_page_68_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_68_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_68_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_68_Picture_6.jpeg)

**Atelier Desmichelle Architecture + La Architectures** 

# Thank you